

## Integrating Spirituality and Cognition in the Curriculum of Christian Religious Education

Silas Sudarman

Calvary Baptist Theological College, Jakarta, Indonesia

**Corresponding Author:** Silas Sudarman [sudarmansilas@gmail.com](mailto:sudarmansilas@gmail.com)

---

### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:* Christian Religious Education, Spirituality, Cognition, Curriculum, Qualitative Research

*Received :* 10 January

*Revised :* 15 February

*Accepted :* 30 March

©2026 Sudarman: This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



### ABSTRACT

Christian Religious Education (CRE) carries a formational mandate that extends beyond the transmission of theological knowledge toward the holistic formation of faith, character, and lived Christian identity. However, in many formal educational contexts, CRE remains dominated by cognitive and instructional approaches, while students' spiritual experiences are insufficiently integrated into the curriculum. This study explores how the integration of spirituality and cognition is experienced and understood by Christian Education students within the CRE curriculum. Employing a qualitative descriptive approach, data were collected through open-ended questionnaires completed by 20 students and semi-structured interviews with seven purposively selected students at a Baptist theological seminary in Jakarta, Indonesia. The data were analyzed using thematic analysis. The findings indicate that spirituality-cognition integration is experienced as a formational learning process that connects theological knowledge with personal reflection, spiritual growth, and everyday Christian practice. By foregrounding students' lived experiences, this study offers an empirically grounded contribution to discussions on holistic Christian education, particularly within Global South contexts

---

## **INTRODUCTION**

Christian Religious Education (CRE) is fundamentally oriented toward holistic formation, encompassing faith development, moral character, and embodied Christian living. Historically, Christian education has emphasized the integration of belief, practice, and identity, recognizing education as a formative journey rather than a purely instructional endeavor. Nevertheless, in many formal educational settings, CRE is frequently reduced to instructional practices that prioritize cognitive mastery of theological concepts, doctrinal accuracy, and academic assessment (Holmes, 2001). Such approaches risk creating a disjunction between intellectual knowledge of faith and students' lived spiritual experiences.

In contemporary higher education contexts, students face increasing academic pressure, existential uncertainty, and fragmentation of faith. These challenges are particularly evident in theological education, where students are expected not only to master theological knowledge but also to cultivate spiritual maturity and vocational discernment. Educational practices that prioritize intellectual achievement without adequate attention to spiritual formation may produce learners who are academically competent yet spiritually underdeveloped (Smith, 2009). Consequently, Christian education institutions are increasingly challenged to design curricula that intentionally integrate spirituality and cognition.

While a growing body of literature advocates holistic and formational approaches, much of this scholarship remains normative and prescriptive. Empirical studies that attend to students' lived experiences – particularly within theological education in the Global South – remain limited. This study addresses that gap by exploring how Christian Education students at a theological seminary in Indonesia experience and interpret spirituality–cognition integration within the CRE curriculum.

## **LITERATURE REVIEW**

### **1. Spirituality and Faith Formation**

Spirituality in Christian education is understood as a lived relationship with God that shapes personal identity, values, and daily practices. Spiritual formation is an ongoing, communal, and contextual process through which individuals grow in faith and character (Foster, 1998). Within educational settings, spirituality functions as a formational dimension enabling learners to reflect on experience, discern meaning, and embody faith.

### **2. Cognition in Christian Religious Education**

Cognitive learning is essential for theological literacy and critical engagement with Christian belief. However, cognition is not an end in itself; it serves faith formation and spiritual maturity (Pazmiño, 2008). When detached from lived experience, cognitive learning risks abstraction and fragmentation.

### **3. Integrating Spirituality and Cognition**

Integrating spirituality and cognition positions learning as a holistic process involving intellect, affect, and praxis, aligning with the unity of faith, learning, and life (Smith, 2009). Yet, how this integration is experienced by

students – especially in Global South theological contexts – remains underexplored.

#### **4. Spiritual Formation in Christian Higher Education**

Spiritual formation has increasingly become a central concern within Christian higher education, particularly in theological and ministerial training contexts. Scholars argue that higher education is not a spiritually neutral space but one that actively shapes students' identities, values, and vocational orientations (Palmer, 2007; Smith, 2009). Within Christian institutions, spiritual formation is understood as the intentional cultivation of practices, virtues, and dispositions that enable students to live out their faith integrally within academic, ecclesial, and societal contexts.

However, tensions often arise between institutional demands for academic rigor and the formative aims of Christian education. Several studies note that when spiritual formation is subsumed under cognitive achievement or treated as an implicit by-product of theological instruction, students may experience fragmentation between faith and learning. This fragmentation is particularly pronounced in higher education settings that prioritize assessment, performance, and doctrinal mastery over reflective and relational learning processes.

Empirical research examining how students experience spiritual formation within Christian higher education remains limited, especially in non-Western contexts. Existing studies are largely conceptual or theological in nature, offering normative frameworks rather than data-driven insights. Consequently, there is a need for qualitative research that foregrounds students' lived experiences of formation, illuminating how institutional practices, pedagogy, and relational dynamics shape the integration of spirituality and cognition. This study responds directly to that need by examining spirituality-cognition integration within a theological seminary in Indonesia.

#### **5. Conceptual Framework: Spirituality-Cognition Integration as Formational Process**

Drawing from the literature on spiritual formation, holistic learning, and Christian education, this study employs a conceptual framework that understands spirituality-cognition integration as a formational process rather than a discrete pedagogical technique. Within this framework, cognition refers not merely to the acquisition of theological knowledge, but to reflective and interpretive engagement that enables meaning-making. Spirituality, meanwhile, is understood as a lived orientation toward God that shapes identity, values, and practice.

Integration occurs at the intersection of these dimensions through intentional pedagogical mediation. Learning becomes formational when cognitive engagement is accompanied by reflection, dialogue, and relational accompaniment, allowing students to interpret theological content in light of their lived experiences. This framework assumes that formation is neither automatic nor incidental, but emerges through structured learning environments, teaching practices, and relational dynamics.

By conceptualizing integration as a process, this framework provides an analytical lens for examining how curriculum, pedagogy, and lecturer presence interact to shape students' experiences of formation. It also informs the methodological choice of a qualitative approach that foregrounds lived experience as a primary source of insight into formational learning.

## **6. Analytical Implications of the Conceptual Framework**

The conceptual framework proposed in this study carries important analytical implications for understanding Christian Religious Education as a formational practice. By framing spirituality-cognition integration as a process rather than an outcome, the framework shifts analytical attention from curricular content alone to the dynamics of learning environments, pedagogical mediation, and relational engagement.

This perspective enables a more nuanced reading of students' experiences, recognizing that formation unfolds through interaction rather than transmission. Cognition is not reduced to information processing, nor is spirituality confined to devotional practice. Instead, integration emerges through interpretive acts in which students reflect on theological knowledge in light of personal experience, community interaction, and vocational imagination.

Analytically, this framework also allows for the identification of formative "sites" within educational practice, such as classroom dialogue, reflective assignments, and lecturer-student relationships. These sites function as mediating spaces where cognition and spirituality intersect. By foregrounding these dynamics, the framework provides a tool for examining not only whether integration occurs, but how and under what conditions it becomes formative.

Consequently, the framework supports a shift in Christian education research from outcome-based evaluation toward process-oriented analysis. This shift is particularly relevant for qualitative inquiry, which seeks to understand formation as lived, relational, and contextually embedded.

## **METHODOLOGY**

### **1. Spirituality and Faith Formation**

Spirituality in Christian education is understood as a lived relationship with God that shapes personal identity, values, and daily practices. Spiritual formation is an ongoing, communal, and contextual process through which individuals grow in faith and character (Foster, 1998). Within educational settings, spirituality functions as a formational dimension enabling learners to reflect on experience, discern meaning, and embody faith.

### **2. Cognition in Christian Religious Education**

Cognitive learning is essential for theological literacy and critical engagement with Christian belief. However, cognition is not an end in itself; it serves faith formation and spiritual maturity (Pazmiño, 2008). When detached from lived experience, cognitive learning risks abstraction and fragmentation.

### **3. Integrating Spirituality and Cognition**

Integrating spirituality and cognition positions learning as a holistic process involving intellect, affect, and praxis, aligning with the unity of faith, learning, and life (Smith, 2009). Yet, how this integration is experienced by students—especially in Global South theological contexts—remains underexplored.

### **4. Spiritual Formation in Christian Higher Education**

Spiritual formation has increasingly become a central concern within Christian higher education, particularly in theological and ministerial training contexts. Scholars argue that higher education is not a spiritually neutral space but one that actively shapes students' identities, values, and vocational orientations (Palmer, 2007; Smith, 2009). Within Christian institutions, spiritual formation is understood as the intentional cultivation of practices, virtues, and dispositions that enable students to live out their faith integrally within academic, ecclesial, and societal contexts.

However, tensions often arise between institutional demands for academic rigor and the formative aims of Christian education. Several studies note that when spiritual formation is subsumed under cognitive achievement or treated as an implicit by-product of theological instruction, students may experience fragmentation between faith and learning. This fragmentation is particularly pronounced in higher education settings that prioritize assessment, performance, and doctrinal mastery over reflective and relational learning processes.

Empirical research examining how students experience spiritual formation within Christian higher education remains limited, especially in non-Western contexts. Existing studies are largely conceptual or theological in nature, offering normative frameworks rather than data-driven insights. Consequently, there is a need for qualitative research that foregrounds students' lived experiences of formation, illuminating how institutional practices, pedagogy, and relational dynamics shape the integration of spirituality and cognition. This study responds directly to that need by examining spirituality-cognition integration within a theological seminary in Indonesia.

### **5. Conceptual Framework: Spirituality-Cognition Integration as Formational Process**

Drawing from the literature on spiritual formation, holistic learning, and Christian education, this study employs a conceptual framework that understands spirituality-cognition integration as a formational process rather than a discrete pedagogical technique. Within this framework, cognition refers not merely to the acquisition of theological knowledge, but to reflective and interpretive engagement that enables meaning-making. Spirituality, meanwhile, is understood as a lived orientation toward God that shapes identity, values, and practice.

Integration occurs at the intersection of these dimensions through intentional pedagogical mediation. Learning becomes formational when cognitive engagement is accompanied by reflection, dialogue, and relational

accompaniment, allowing students to interpret theological content in light of their lived experiences. This framework assumes that formation is neither automatic nor incidental, but emerges through structured learning environments, teaching practices, and relational dynamics.

By conceptualizing integration as a process, this framework provides an analytical lens for examining how curriculum, pedagogy, and lecturer presence interact to shape students' experiences of formation. It also informs the methodological choice of a qualitative approach that foregrounds lived experience as a primary source of insight into formational learning.

## **6. Analytical Implications of the Conceptual Framework**

The conceptual framework proposed in this study carries important analytical implications for understanding Christian Religious Education as a formational practice. By framing spirituality-cognition integration as a process rather than an outcome, the framework shifts analytical attention from curricular content alone to the dynamics of learning environments, pedagogical mediation, and relational engagement.

This perspective enables a more nuanced reading of students' experiences, recognizing that formation unfolds through interaction rather than transmission. Cognition is not reduced to information processing, nor is spirituality confined to devotional practice. Instead, integration emerges through interpretive acts in which students reflect on theological knowledge in light of personal experience, community interaction, and vocational imagination.

Analytically, this framework also allows for the identification of formative "sites" within educational practice, such as classroom dialogue, reflective assignments, and lecturer-student relationships. These sites function as mediating spaces where cognition and spirituality intersect. By foregrounding these dynamics, the framework provides a tool for examining not only whether integration occurs, but how and under what conditions it becomes formative.

Consequently, the framework supports a shift in Christian education research from outcome-based evaluation toward process-oriented analysis. This shift is particularly relevant for qualitative inquiry, which seeks to understand formation as lived, relational, and contextually embedded.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### **1. Discussion (Expanded with Explicit Comparative Analysis)**

The findings of this study affirm, extend, and in some respects challenge existing scholarship on Christian education and spiritual formation. By foregrounding students' lived experiences, this study provides empirical nuance to theoretical claims that have often remained normative in nature.

### **2. Confirming Holistic Christian Education Paradigms**

This study confirms longstanding arguments that Christian education is inherently formational and holistic. Holmes (2001) contends that authentic Christian education must engage the whole person – intellectually, morally, and spiritually. The participants' experiences strongly support this view, as learning was perceived as meaningful only when theological cognition was integrated

with spiritual reflection and lived experience. Similarly, Smith's (2009) emphasis on the unity of faith, learning, and life is affirmed by students' narratives, which reveal that cognitive engagement alone was insufficient for genuine faith formation.

The findings also corroborate Foster's (1998) understanding of spiritual formation as a dynamic and ongoing process. Students did not describe formation as a fixed outcome of curriculum delivery but as a journey shaped through reflection, dialogue, and relational engagement. In this sense, the study reinforces existing holistic paradigms while grounding them empirically.

### **3. Extending Existing Theories through Lived Experience**

Beyond confirmation, this study extends current theories by demonstrating how spirituality–cognition integration is enacted in everyday educational practices. While much of the literature emphasizes what Christian education should achieve, the present findings illuminate how integration is experienced by students—through dialogical learning, reflective assignments, and the embodied witness of lecturers.

Moreover, this study extends transformative learning theory by situating perspective transformation within a spiritual and theological context. Mezirow (2000) highlights critical reflection as central to transformative learning; this study shows that such reflection becomes especially transformative when grounded in spiritual meaning-making. Cognition enabled students to critically examine beliefs, while spirituality provided a framework for interpreting those reflections in relation to faith, identity, and vocation.

Importantly, by drawing on data from an Indonesian theological seminary, this study extends the geographical and cultural scope of Christian education research, contributing a Global South perspective that remains underrepresented in the literature.

### **4. Challenging Reductionist Approaches to Christian Education**

At the same time, the findings challenge reductionist approaches that treat Christian education primarily as doctrinal instruction or cognitive training. Participants' narratives suggest that when CRE is confined to content mastery and assessment, it fails to address students' deeper spiritual and existential needs. This challenges instructional models that implicitly assume that theological knowledge will automatically result in spiritual maturity.

The study also questions implicit assumptions that spiritual formation occurs independently of pedagogical design. Instead, the findings indicate that formation is deeply influenced by learning environments, teaching practices, and relational dynamics. Without intentional attention to these dimensions, integration remains fragmented or superficial.

### **5. Spirituality–Cognition Integration as Formational Praxis**

This study proposes understanding spirituality–cognition integration not merely as a curricular principle, but as a form of formational praxis—a lived, relational, and reflective practice enacted within educational spaces. Integration emerges through concrete pedagogical actions: inviting reflection, fostering

dialogue, modeling faith-learning coherence, and accompanying students in their spiritual journeys.

Conceptualizing integration as formational praxis reframes Christian education as an embodied practice rather than a theoretical ideal. It highlights that formation occurs through how teaching is carried out, how relationships are cultivated, and how students are invited to interpret their learning in light of faith. This perspective bridges the gap between theory and practice, offering a practical-theological lens for reimagining CRE as a formative journey rather than a static curriculum.

## **6. Implications for Holistic Learning Theory in Christian Education**

From an educational theory perspective, the findings contribute to broader discussions on holistic learning within faith-based higher education. Holistic learning theories emphasize the integration of cognitive, affective, and experiential dimensions of learning, aligning closely with the formational aims of Christian education. The students' experiences in this study illustrate that learning becomes transformative when cognitive engagement is intertwined with reflection, relational support, and spiritual meaning-making.

This perspective challenges dominant higher education models that prioritize measurable cognitive outcomes while marginalizing affective and spiritual dimensions. In contrast, the findings suggest that spiritual formation enhances rather than detracts from intellectual rigor, as reflective engagement deepens understanding and critical awareness. By situating spirituality-cognition integration within holistic learning theory, this study positions Christian education as a meaningful contributor to wider educational discourse, rather than a niche or confessional enterprise.

## **7. Positioning Christian Education within Contemporary Higher Education Discourse**

Beyond the field of Christian education, the findings of this study engage broader conversations within higher education scholarship concerning student formation, identity development, and the role of affective and spiritual dimensions in learning. Contemporary higher education research increasingly recognizes that universities shape not only cognitive competencies but also students' sense of self, purpose, and belonging.

Within this discourse, Christian theological education offers a distinctive yet relevant contribution by explicitly attending to spiritual formation as a core educational aim. The experiences described by students in this study suggest that integrating spirituality and cognition does not undermine academic rigor, but rather deepens engagement and critical reflection. This challenges assumptions that spiritual concerns are peripheral or incompatible with higher education's intellectual mission.

By situating spirituality-cognition integration within wider educational debates, this study positions Christian education as a constructive participant in discussions on holistic learning, student well-being, and transformative education, rather than as an isolated or confessional enterprise.

## **8. Normative Reflections for Christian Religious Education**

While this study is empirical in nature, the findings also invite normative reflection on the purpose and practice of Christian Religious Education. If CRE is to remain faithful to its formational mandate, integration of spirituality and cognition must be understood as a core educational responsibility rather than an optional enrichment.

The findings suggest that Christian education cannot rely solely on theological correctness or academic rigor to produce spiritually mature graduates. Instead, CRE must cultivate learning environments that intentionally support reflection, relational engagement, and meaning-making. Such environments acknowledge that formation involves vulnerability, struggle, and growth, rather than mere mastery.

These normative implications do not prescribe a single pedagogical model, but they call for intentional alignment between curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional ethos. In this sense, CRE functions not only as a site of theological instruction but as a formative community that shapes how students understand faith, vocation, and responsibility in the world.

By articulating these normative reflections in dialogue with empirical findings, this study demonstrates how qualitative research can inform both descriptive understanding and constructive development within Christian education.

## **9. Revisiting Spiritual Formation as an Educational Construct**

Revisiting spiritual formation as an educational construct allows this study to situate its findings within broader conversations about the nature and purpose of education itself. In many contemporary educational frameworks, formation is often treated as peripheral to academic learning, assumed to occur implicitly rather than through intentional design. However, the experiences described by students in this study challenge such assumptions by demonstrating that formation is actively shaped through pedagogical structures, relational dynamics, and interpretive practices.

Understanding spiritual formation as an educational construct emphasizes its dialogical and developmental character. Formation is neither instantaneous nor uniform; it unfolds over time as students engage cognitively, reflectively, and relationally with theological content. This perspective aligns with educational theories that view learning as a process of becoming, rather than mere acquisition of knowledge. Within Christian education, such becoming is oriented toward faith, vocation, and responsible participation in community.

By conceptualizing spiritual formation in explicitly educational terms, this study bridges a persistent divide between theological reflection and educational practice. It suggests that formation should be examined not only as a theological ideal but also as an educational phenomenon shaped by curriculum, pedagogy, and institutional culture. This reframing invites Christian educators to engage more intentionally with educational theory, recognizing that how teaching is designed and enacted profoundly influences spiritual outcomes.

Revisiting formation in this way strengthens the contribution of this study by positioning spirituality-cognition integration as a legitimate and necessary

concern within higher education discourse. It affirms that attention to spiritual formation does not diminish academic integrity, but rather enriches educational practice by addressing the formation of the whole person.

### **10. Contribution to the Field (Expanded)**

This study makes three key contributions to the field of Christian education. First, it advances an experiential framework of spirituality–cognition integration by conceptualizing integration as a formational process rather than a curricular strategy alone. Second, by foregrounding students’ lived experiences through qualitative inquiry, the study addresses a significant gap in Christian Religious Education research that has often been dominated by normative, doctrinal, or prescriptive approaches. Third, it enriches international discourse by providing empirical insights from an Indonesian theological seminary, contributing a Global South perspective to Christian education scholarship.

*Reflective Contribution.* Beyond these specific contributions, this study invites a reframing of how Christian education research conceptualizes formation itself. Rather than treating spiritual formation as an implicit by-product of theological instruction, the findings suggest that formation is an intentional, relational, and pedagogically mediated process that unfolds through everyday educational practices. This perspective challenges scholars and practitioners alike to attend more carefully to the formative dimensions of curriculum design, teaching identity, and learning environments. By grounding theoretical claims in students’ narratives, the study bridges the gap between theological ideals and educational realities, offering a practical-theological lens for reimagining Christian education as a lived and ongoing formational journey.

### **11. Implications (Expanded)**

The findings of this study carry important implications for curriculum design, faculty formation, and future research within Christian theological education. By conceptualizing spirituality–cognition integration as a formational praxis, these implications extend beyond theoretical discourse to concrete educational practice.

### **12. Implications for Theological Seminary Curriculum**

The findings suggest that theological seminary curricula should be intentionally designed as formational frameworks, rather than solely as content-driven programs. Integration of spirituality and cognition requires curricular structures that explicitly connect theological knowledge with spiritual reflection and lived practice. This includes incorporating reflective assignments, integrative seminars, and pedagogical spaces that invite students to interpret theological learning in relation to personal faith, ministry contexts, and vocational discernment.

Moreover, curricula should be structured to support ongoing spiritual formation across the academic journey, rather than confining formation to isolated courses. Such an approach affirms that spiritual formation is not an ancillary outcome of theological education but a central curricular aim embedded within the learning process.

### **13. Implications for the Formation of Theology Lecturers**

The study underscores the formative role of lecturers as educators, mentors, and spiritual companions. Consequently, theological institutions should prioritize the holistic formation of faculty members, recognizing that teaching flows from the identity, integrity, and spiritual maturity of the educator (Palmer, 2007). Professional development initiatives should integrate pedagogical training with spiritual formation, equipping lecturers to facilitate reflective dialogue, model faith-learning integration, and accompany students relationally.

This finding challenges institutions to reconsider faculty evaluation metrics that prioritize academic output alone, advocating instead for a broader understanding of teaching excellence that includes formational and relational dimensions.

### **14. Implications for Future Research**

The findings also open pathways for future research. Subsequent studies could employ mixed-methods designs to examine spirituality-cognition integration across larger student populations and institutional contexts. Comparative research across denominational traditions, cultural settings, or types of theological institutions would further illuminate how contextual factors shape formational practices.

Longitudinal studies are particularly needed to explore how integrative learning experiences during theological education influence graduates' faith development, ministry practices, and vocational identity over time. Such research would deepen understanding of the long-term impact of formational Christian education.

## **CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS**

This study has explored how students in a theological seminary experience the integration of spirituality and cognition within the Christian Religious Education curriculum. The findings demonstrate that integration is not an automatic outcome of theological instruction but a formational process shaped by pedagogy, relational engagement, and intentional curricular design. When learning environments invite reflection, dialogue, and accompaniment, CRE becomes a transformative journey that shapes students' faith, identity, and vocation.

By foregrounding students' lived experiences, this study contributes empirical depth to ongoing conversations on holistic Christian education. It underscores the importance of viewing spiritual formation as an intentional educational practice rather than an implicit or incidental outcome. In doing so, the study invites theological educators and institutions to reimagine Christian education as a lived, relational, and reflective process that faithfully integrates spirituality and cognition within higher education.

## **FUTURE RESEARCH**

Limited to one institution and a small sample, this study invites broader, comparative, and longitudinal research across theological contexts.

## **REFERENCES**

- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology, 3*(2), 77-101.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). *Qualitative inquiry and research design* (4th ed.). Sage.
- Foster, R. J. (1998). *Streams of living water*. HarperCollins.
- Holmes, A. F. (2001). *The idea of a Christian college*. Eerdmans.
- Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Sage.
- Mezirow, J. (2000). *Learning as transformation*. Jossey-Bass.
- Palmer, P. J. (2007). *The courage to teach*. Jossey-Bass.
- Pazmiño, R. W. (2008). *Foundational issues in Christian education* (3rd ed.). Baker Academic.
- Smith, J. K. A. (2009). *Desiring the kingdom*. Baker Academic.